

# MEDITECH

## **ARRA Meaningful Use Stage 3 Usability Study Usability Issues and Recommendations**

### **Acute MG 5.67**

**Medication Allergy List**

**Medication List**

**Drug-Drug, Drug-Allergy Interaction Checks**

**Electronic Prescribing**

**Computerized Provider Order Entry - Medications**

**Computerized Provider Order Entry - Laboratory**

**Computerized Provider Order Entry - Diagnostic Imaging**

**Clinical Information Reconciliation and Incorporation**

**Clinical Decision Support**

**Demographics**

**Problem List**

**Implantable Device List**

*Date of Usability Test: September 2016 – August 2017*

*Date of Report: August 2017*

*Prepared by: Matt Brundage, Supervisor Development | [mbrundage@meditech.com](mailto:mbrundage@meditech.com)  
Jason Botelho, Lead Software Designer | [jjbotelho@meditech.com](mailto:jjbotelho@meditech.com)*

## Table of Contents

<b>Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Testing Process</b>	<b>4-7</b>
<b>Medication Allergy List</b>	<b>8</b>
Task Data	<b>8</b>
Medication Allergy List Issues	<b>8</b>
<b>Medication List</b>	<b>9</b>
Task Data	<b>9</b>
Medication List Issues	<b>9</b>
<b>Drug-Drug, Drug-Allergy Interaction Checks</b>	<b>10-11</b>
Task Data	<b>10</b>
Drug-Drug, Drug-Allergy Interaction Checks Issues	<b>10-11</b>
<b>Electronic Prescribing</b>	<b>12</b>
Task Data	<b>12</b>
Electronic Prescribing Issues	<b>12</b>
<b>Computerized Provider Order Entry - Medications/Lab/DI</b>	<b>13-14</b>
Task Data	<b>13</b>
Computerized Provider Order Entry - Medications/Lab/DI Issues	<b>14</b>
<b>Clinical Information Reconciliation and Incorporation</b>	<b>15-16</b>
Task Data	<b>15</b>
Clinical Information Reconciliation – General Issues	<b>15-16</b>
<b>Clinical Decision Support</b>	<b>17-18</b>
Task Data	<b>17</b>
Clinical Decision Support Issues	<b>18</b>
<b>Problem List</b>	<b>19</b>
Task Data	<b>19</b>
Problem List Issues	<b>19</b>
<b>Demographics</b>	<b>20-21</b>
Task Data	<b>20</b>

Demographics Issues	<b>20-21</b>
<b>Implantable Device List</b>	<b>22</b>
Task Data	<b>22</b>
Implantable Device List Issues	<b>22</b>
<b>System Usability Scale (SUS) score</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Appendix A: Clinical Participant Test Script</b>	<b>24-26</b>
<b>Appendix B: Clinical Participant Demographics</b>	<b>27-29</b>

## SUMMARY

From September 2016 to August 2017, we conducted a usability test of the Acute MG 5.67 MEDITECH platform, in accordance with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and Meaningful Use certification guidelines. In these tests, end users completed a variety of tasks throughout the system; these tasks were designed to assess how easily users could complete representative clinical workflows and to identify areas to improve the usability of our software.

To meet Meaningful Use requirements, we had to assess the usability of twelve features throughout the system:

- Medication Allergy List
- Medication List
- Drug-drug, Drug-allergy Interaction Checks
- Computerized Provider Order Entry - Medications
- Computerized Provider Order Entry - Laboratory
- Computerized Provider Order Entry - Diagnostic Imaging
- Electronic Prescribing
- Clinical Information Reconciliation and Incorporation
- Clinical Decision Support
- Demographics
- Problem List
- Implantable Device List

After conducting tests with end users to analyze the usability of each of those features, we analyze each test to evaluate the usability of our software based on three metrics.

**This metric:**

**Analyzes:**

Effectiveness

Whether or not participants completed the task

Efficiency

The time required and steps taken to complete the task

User Satisfaction

Participant feedback on ease of use and areas for improvement

While gathering and analyzing testing sessions, we noted areas users struggled in the system, the cause of those struggles, and ways to improve the usability of those areas. From these observations, we crafted a list of usability issues and recommendations.

This document describes the usability study for Acute MG 5.67 MEDITECH.

## **TESTING PROCESS**

This section provides a brief outline of our testing process, including participant profiles, testing procedure, evaluative metrics, and issue identification.

### ***Participants***

We tested a total of 15 representative participants for this usability test. All of the participants are active users of the Acute MG 5.67 MEDITECH software representing clinical, administrative, and IT staff. Participants were asked to perform tasks for functions that most closely matched their daily workflow. On average, these participants had, at the time of testing, 5+ years of experience using the MEDITECH system.

### ***Testing Procedure***

Participants completed 31 tasks across the system to test multiple functionality points. We composed the tasks to mimic a representative clinical workflow, with different tasks spread across a patient visit. Here's an example task:

| Amanda has taken nitrofurantoin for her urinary tract infection, but has had dyspnea, cough, and chest and back pain since starting the medication. Discontinue this medication.

Each participant performed each task without assistance to the best of his or her ability, as quickly as possible, and with the fewest possible deviations. After each task, we asked the participant to rate the ease or difficulty of the task and gathered any participant feedback about the task.

### ***Test Environment***

Following is a summary of the participants' computing environment:

<b>Tested product:</b>	MEDITECH EHR, version MG 5.67
<b>Computer platforms:</b>	HP Probook with a 15" display
<b>Display:</b>	VA1926wSERIES display
<b>Screen resolution:</b>	1440 x 900 resolution
<b>Operating system:</b>	Windows 7

*Morae© software was used to assist with data collection.*

## ***Evaluative Metrics***

To analyze testing results, we captured seven primary pieces of data: task success, task errors, task deviations, task performance time, task time standard deviations, task rating, and System Usability Scale scores.

### **Task Success**

We counted a task as a success if the participant was able to achieve the correct outcome without assistance. We compiled the overall success rate for a task by dividing the number of task successes by the number of task attempts.

### **Task Errors**

While each participant worked through a task, we recorded his or her path to complete the task. We noted an error if the participant, for example, went to a wrong screen, clicked on an incorrect menu item, or followed an incorrect link *and* was not able to complete the task without returning to a previous step in the intended path.

### **Task Deviations**

While each participant worked through a task, we recorded his or her path to complete the task. We noted a deviation if the participant performed an unexpected or unnecessary action, navigated to an incorrect screen, or selected an incorrect item, but was able to continue towards completing the task.

### **Task Time**

We recorded the time from when a participant started a task to the time they finished it, expressed in seconds. Participants reported when they finished a task. We stopped task times when a participant failed to finish a task and continued task times when participants finished a task but failed to recognize they had completed it.

### **Task Time Standard Deviation**

We calculated the standard deviation of task performance times. The task time standard deviation captures the number of seconds that constitutes one standard deviation from the mean task performance time. For example, a standard deviation of 10 seconds indicates that one standard deviation from the mean task time is equal to the mean task time plus or minus 10 seconds.

### **Task Rating**

After each task, participants scored the ease or difficulty of the task on a scale of 1 (very difficult) to 5 (very easy). We computed the average rating for each task. In addition, during this process, we gathered participant feedback about the task—what they liked, disliked, thought could be improved, etc.

### **System Usability Scale (SUS)**

The SUS is an industry-standard, 10-item questionnaire that assesses the usability of the system under test. We administered the SUS to each participant following each testing session and compiled the overall SUS scores. During this process, we asked participants for their feedback on the entire system.

## ***Issue Identification***

After completing each testing session and compiling test data and observations, we identified areas where participants struggled in using the system. For example, if a task had a low task success rate and high task time, we analyzed the task to see if participants made common deviations to increase task time and prevent them from completing the task. If a task had low task ratings, we reviewed feedback to determine if participants had common complaints about the functionality in the task.

Conversely, we noted areas where the system performed well to determine what sort of workflows participants liked. We can use positive findings to help identify intuitive areas of the system and expand that functionality when possible to less intuitive functions.

For each issue, we calculated the number of participants who struggled with that issue, where the issue occurred in the participants' workflow, how the issue affected the outcome of the task, and whether or not the issue may affect patient safety. With that information, we assigned a priority to each issue, on a scale of 1 –3.

**Severity 1:** Severe usability issue that caused multiple or significant task failures or has room to improve patient safety.

**Severity 2:** Major usability issue that caused major struggles, or significantly slowed down users, or caused an isolated task failure.

**Severity 3:** Efficiency usability issue. Efficiency or workflow could be improved but the issue did not cause significant disruption.

In addition to identifying the details and priority of each issue, we composed a recommendation to each issue.



## MEDICATION ALLERGY LIST

### Task Data

The Medication Allergy List portion of the usability study was composed of three tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these three tasks.

Task	Effectiveness (% Success)	Efficiency	User Satisfaction (Rating)
Access Allergies	100%	Time (sec): <b>6</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>4</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>5.0/5.0</b>
Change Allergy	100%	Time (sec): <b>18</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>24</b> Errors: <b>1</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>5.0/5.0</b>
Record Allergy	100%	Time (sec): <b>95</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>46</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>5.0/5.0</b>

### Medication Allergy List Issues

#### *Issue 1: Redundant confirmation box appears when saving values*

##### Issue Data

Severity: **3**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **3**

##### Findings

After entering a new allergy or editing an existing allergy, there is an additional confirmation box that appears when filing the entry.

##### Quotes

None

##### Recommendations

Evaluate the possibility of removing the confirmation box when filing a new entry or editing an existing entry.

## **MEDICATION LIST**

### **Task Data**

The Medication List portion of the usability study was composed of three tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these three tasks.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Effectiveness</b> (% Success)	<b>Efficiency</b>	<b>User Satisfaction</b> (Rating)
<b>Access Medication</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>6</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>2</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>5.0/5.0</b>
<b>Change Medication</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>15</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>34</b> Errors: <b>2</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.5/5.0</b>
<b>Record Medication</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>134</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>87</b> Errors: <b>2</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.5/5.0</b>

### **Medication List Issues**

#### ***No issues***

The process of accessing existing home medications, documenting new home medications and editing previously entered home medications tested very well, with 100 percent task success rates as well as high user satisfaction ratings.

## DRUG-DRUG, DRUG-ALLERGY INTERACTION CHECKS

### Task Data

The Drug-Drug, Drug-Allergy Interaction Checks portion of the usability study was composed of two tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these two tasks.

Task	Effectiveness (% Success)	Efficiency	User Satisfaction (Rating)
<b>Adjustment of Severity Level for Drug-Drug Interaction Check</b>	<b>0%</b>	Time (sec): <b>288</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>118</b> Errors: <b>4.5</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>1.5/5.0</b>
<b>Drug-Allergy Interaction</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>23</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>15</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.0/5.0</b>

### Adjustment of Severity Level Issues

#### *Issue 1: Users struggled with setting which severities*

#### Issue Data

Severity: **2**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **2**

#### Findings

For setting the severity of interaction checking, users have to change up a field that has the entry options "SIM" (for severe, intermediate, and mild). Users tended to turn off all medication interaction checking in an attempt to accomplish the task, indicating that the "SIM" options may be confusing.

#### Quotes

None

#### Recommendations

Change the input field mechanics so users better understand how they can manage drug interaction warnings.

## **Drug-Drug, Drug-Allergy Interaction Checks Issues**

***Issue 1: Users would like more information on the interaction screen to provide a clear reason for why the interaction appears***

### **Issue Data**

Severity: **2**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **3**

### **Findings**

Three participants commented that they receive too many alert messages, which may lead to alert fatigue. The consensus was that participants wanted the alert to appear but wanted the presentation of information streamlined to make the reason of the alert more prominent on the screen.

### **Quotes**

None

### **Recommendations**

Organizations are trained to manage the alerts that appear to help with alert fatigue. Review the presentation of information included on alert messages to ensure that users can quickly understand and respond to interaction alerts.

## **ELECTRONIC PRESCRIBING**

### **Task Data**

The Electronic Prescribing portion of the usability study was composed of two tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these two tasks.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Effectiveness</b> (% Success)	<b>Efficiency</b>	<b>User Satisfaction</b> (Rating)
<b>Locating Rx Fill Status</b>	<b>90%</b>	Time (sec): <b>226</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>127</b> Errors: <b>6</b> Deviations: <b>3</b>	<b>4.1/5.0</b>
<b>Generate a Refill</b>	<b>80%</b>	Time (sec): <b>90</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>45</b> Errors: <b>3</b> Deviations: <b>3</b>	<b>3.8/5.0</b>

### **Electronic Prescribing Issues**

#### ***Issue 1: Users struggled to generate an ePrescribing refill order***

##### **Issue Data**

Severity: **2**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **2**

##### **Findings**

Two participants experienced difficulty initiating a refill order through electronic prescribing and were unable to successfully complete the task.

##### **Quotes**

None

##### **Recommendations**

Evaluate the process for generating a refill using ePrescribing to determine if the process can be enhanced. Also, ensure the online help and supporting documentation is sufficient to guide and instruct users on performing this function.

## COMPUTERIZED PROVIDER ORDER ENTRY

### Task Data

The Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE) portion of the usability study was composed of nine tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these eight tasks.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Effectiveness</b> (% Success)	<b>Efficiency</b>	<b>User Satisfaction</b> (Rating)
<b>Record CPOE Medication</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>134</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>87</b> Errors: <b>2</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.0/5.0</b>
<b>Change CPOE Medication</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>15</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>34</b> Errors: <b>2</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.4/5.0</b>
<b>Access CPOE Medication</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>21</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>12</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>5.0/5.0</b>
<b>Record CPOE Laboratory</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>153</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>179</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.0/5.0</b>
<b>Change CPOE Laboratory</b>	<b>80%</b>	Time (sec): <b>73</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>72</b> Errors: <b>7</b> Deviations: <b>3</b>	<b>3.3/5.0</b>
<b>Access CPOE Laboratory</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>18</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>6</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>5.0/5.0</b>
<b>Record CPOE Diagnostic Imaging</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>88</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>56</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.0/5.0</b>
<b>Change CPOE Diagnostic Imaging</b>	<b>90%</b>	Time (sec): <b>129</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>73</b> Errors: <b>10</b> Deviations: <b>4</b>	<b>3.3/5.0</b>
<b>Access CPOE Diagnostic Imaging</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>18</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>5</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>5.0/5.0</b>

## **Computerized Provider Order Entry Issues - Medications/Laboratory/Diagnostic Imaging**

### ***Issue 1: Difficulty editing existing service dates/times***

#### **Issue Data**

Severity: **3**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **3**

#### **Findings**

Two users were not able to successfully edit the service date/time of a laboratory and one user was unsuccessful editing the service date/time of a diagnostic imaging order.

In addition, we observed multiple deviations as users successfully completed the task, but followed a different path than what was expected to successfully complete the task.

#### **Quotes**

None

#### **Recommendations**

Evaluate changes to enable users to edit by clicking directly on the existing service date/time.

## CLINICAL INFORMATION RECONCILIATION AND INCORPORATION

### Task Data

The Clinical Information Reconciliation and Incorporation portion of the usability study was composed of three tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these three tasks.

Task	Effectiveness (% Success)	Efficiency	User Satisfaction (Rating)
Consume CCD - Allergies	90%	Time (sec): <b>280</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>208</b> Errors: <b>5</b> Deviations: <b>2</b>	<b>4.2/5.0</b>
Consume CCD - Problems	60%	Time (sec): <b>142</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>136</b> Errors: <b>6</b> Deviations: <b>3</b>	<b>3.6/5.0</b>
Consume CCD - Medications	80%	Time (sec): <b>271</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>172</b> Errors: <b>5</b> Deviations: <b>1</b>	<b>3.9/5.0</b>

### Clinical Information Reconciliation – Problems/Medications/Allergies

#### *Issue 1: Lack of consistency when reconciling external problems/medications/allergies*

#### Issue Data

Severity: **1**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **5**

#### Evidence

The workflow to reconcile problems, medications, and allergies is different. Five participants expressed frustration that they have to perform the same function in three different areas, and the screen layout and workflow are not consistent across each location.

#### Quotes

None

#### Recommended Solution

Evaluate screen layout and design so that consistency is maintained across all three areas when external data is consumed.



***Issue 2: System should automatically match data from CCD to data in patient record***

**Issue Data**

Severity: **1**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **5**

**Findings**

Five participants commented that the system should be able to match any data coming in from the CCD to existing data entries in the system. There is too much manual data entry when the system could alleviate some of the work. For example, if any allergy (including severity and reaction) comes in from a CCD and the data values match data entry values in the system, then the system should default all of that data instead of requiring a user to manually enter each value.

**Quotes**

“The reaction should pop into the box from the CCD and I could change it.”

“Navigation is clunky and the process doesn’t show all the information.”

**Recommendations**

Evaluate changing system functionality to enable the system to default any values that match existing entries in the system. Users could then make edits, as needed.

## CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT

### Task Data

The Clinical Decision Support portion of the usability study was composed of eight tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these eight tasks.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Effectiveness</b> (% Success)	<b>Efficiency</b>	<b>User Satisfaction</b> (Rating)
<b>Generate Problem List Interventions</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>34</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>6</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.2/5.0</b>
<b>Generate Medication List Interventions</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>42</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>16</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.2/5.0</b>
<b>Generate Medication Allergy Interventions</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>38</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>12</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.2/5.0</b>
<b>Generate Demographics Interventions</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>29</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>11</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.2/5.0</b>
<b>Generate LAB Test and Result Interventions</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>33</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>13</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.2/5.0</b>
<b>Generate Vital Signs Interventions</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>24</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>8</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.2/5.0</b>
<b>Identify User Diagnostic and Therapeutic Reference Information</b>	<b>30%</b>	Time (sec): <b>114</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>56</b> Errors: <b>8</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.0/5.0</b>
<b>Enable Clinical Decision Support Interventions</b>	<b>50%</b>	Time (sec): <b>249</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>133</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>3.0</b>	<b>1.5/5.0</b>

## **Clinical Decision Support – Infobutton Issues**

### ***Issue 1: Users had trouble utilizing the right-click functionality to access InfoButton***

#### **Issue Data**

Severity: **2**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **7**

#### **Findings**

Seven users failed to use the right-click functionality to locate the InfoButton in order to search for more information about a medication.

#### **Quotes**

“I would go to the Global Links icon.”

#### **Recommendations**

This issue is likely due to lack of training. Right-clicking is a usable feature for users who are aware of it. It is important to teach users about right-clicking to alert them to the presence of this functionality.

## **Enable Clinical Decision Support Interventions Issues**

### ***Issue 1: User struggled to find setting for the clinical decision support.***

#### **Issue Data**

Severity: **2**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **7**

#### **Findings**

One user had a lot of difficulty finding the setting. The user found the setting eventually.

#### **Quotes**

None

#### **Recommendations**

Settings could be centralized in a better way to help users find applicable settings.

## PROBLEM LIST

### Task Data

The Problem List portion of the usability study was composed of two tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these two tasks.

Task	Effectiveness (% Success)	Efficiency	User Satisfaction (Rating)
Access Active Problem List	100%	Time (sec): <b>14</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>6</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>5.0/5.0</b>
Change Active Problem List	100%	Time (sec): <b>49</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>38</b> Errors: <b>2</b> Deviations: <b>3</b>	<b>4.0/5.0</b>

### Problem List Issues

#### *Issue 1: Difficulty changing an existing problem*

##### Issue Data

Severity: **2**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **3**

##### Findings

Three participants had difficulty changing the status of an existing diabetes problem to uncontrolled. All were able to successfully complete the task, but some experienced deviations as they followed a pathway that differed from the expected workflow.

##### Quotes

None

##### Recommendations

Evaluate users' preferred workflows for updating existing problems, and ensure those primary workflows are accessible.

## DEMOGRAPHICS

### Task Data

The Demographics portion of the usability study was composed of two tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these four tasks.

Task	Effectiveness (% Success)	Efficiency	User Satisfaction (Rating)
<b>Record Patient Demographics (Race, Ethnicity, Preferred Language, Sex, Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, Date of Birth)</b>	<b>66%</b>	Time (sec): <b>700</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>332</b> Errors: <b>2.9</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>3.3/5.0</b>
<b>Access and Edit Patient Demographics (Race, Ethnicity, Preferred Language, Sex, Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, Date of Birth)</b>	<b>66%</b>	Time (sec): <b>428</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>273</b> Errors: <b>5.0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>2.7/5.0</b>
<b>Record Preliminary Cause of Death and Date of Death</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>53</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>9</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>3.7/5.0</b>
<b>Access and Change Preliminary Cause of Death and Date of Death</b>	<b>100%</b>	Time (sec): <b>49</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>10</b> Errors: <b>0</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>4.0/5.0</b>

### Demographics Issues

***Issue 1: Users struggled with finding required fields.***

#### **Issue Data**

Severity: **2**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **2**

#### **Findings**

Users struggled with finding the required fields, as they are hidden under a button. If users do not respond to required fields, the system does not help identify the fields.

#### **Quotes**

“I found it hard to find these fields. I guess if I was trained on it, I’d find it.”

**Recommendations**

Have the system help users find required fields when they attempt to file.

## IMPLANTABLE DEVICE LIST

### Task Data

The Implantable Device List portion of the usability study was composed of two tasks. The following table outlines the mean effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction data of these two tasks.

<b>Task</b>	<b>Effectiveness</b> (% Success)	<b>Efficiency</b>	<b>User Satisfaction</b> (Rating)
<b>Record Unique Device Identifiers Associated with Implantable Device</b>	<b>80%</b>	Time (sec): <b>183</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>113</b> Errors: <b>6</b> Deviations: <b>0</b>	<b>3.2/5.0</b>
<b>Change Status of Unique Device Identifier</b>	<b>90%</b>	Time (sec): <b>62</b> Std Dev (sec): <b>19</b> Errors: <b>10</b> Deviations: <b>2</b>	<b>3.7/5.0</b>

### Implantable Device List Issues

#### *Issue 1: Explanted/Inactive tab confusing*

##### **Issue Data**

Severity: **2**      Patient Safety: **No**      Number of users: **3**

##### **Findings**

Three participants questioned the difference between Explanted and Inactive tabs when viewing the implantable device list.

##### **Quotes**

None

##### **Recommendations**

Review the labels to determine if clearer text can be selected. Research the regulation and gather user input in this evaluation.

## **SYSTEM USABILITY SCALE (SUS) SCORE**

The System Usability Scale (SUS) analyses subjective user feedback to the system, on a numeric scale from 0 - 100. Generally, anything above 68 is considered usable. In this test, the SUS was 72.3, indicating that participants generally found the system to be acceptably usable.

<b>Overall SUS Score</b>
<b>72.3</b>



## **APPENDIX A: CLINICAL PARTICIPANT SCRIPT**

### ***Scenario One***

Harriet Thompson is a 67-year-old female who was recently admitted. Harriet has diabetes and chronic kidney disease.

Harriet has a winter residence in Arizona, and has been seeing a physician there over the last few months. Harriet has a CCD from that practice. You need to reconcile Harriet's medical information with her record at her other provider. You also want to gather additional clinical information to ensure that Harriet's chart is up to date.

**Task 1:** Reconcile the allergies on Harriet's CCD with those on her allergy list.

**Task 2:** Reconcile the problems on Harriet's CCD with those on her problem list.

**Task 3:** Reconcile the medications on Harriet's CCD with those on her medication list.

**Task 4:** Harriet reports that she has also started an aspirin regimen. Add this to her medication list as a home medication.

**Task 5:** Harriet also reports that she got a hip replacement while in Arizona. Record her Regenerex Hip System as an implantable device.

**Task 6:** Your organization has begun to capture gender identity and sexual orientation in social history. Update Harriet's PFSH to must record this information. Record Harriet's gender identity as female.

**Task 7:** Next, update her sexual orientation to homosexual.

### ***Scenario Two***

Now that you have reconciled external data and updated Harriet's clinical information, you begin your visit workflow.

**Task 8:** While updating Harriet's clinical information, you noticed that she has an outstanding order for a mammogram. Harriet indicates that she has not had a mammogram in over a year. Update the service date on the mammogram so that Harriet gets the mammogram in the next month.

**Task 9:** You also noticed Harriet has an outstanding HbA1c order. You decide to perform the procedure while she is admitted. Update the service date to today.

**Task 10:** After your nurse performed the HbA1c procedure, you continue your review while you await the results. Harriet had high blood pressure when admitted. You decide to prescribe a medication for her hypertension. Order nifedipine 10mg PO QD. If Nifedipine is contraindicated, do not place the order.

**Task 11:** Because Harriet has CKD and high blood pressure, you are concerned about renal artery stenosis. Order a Renal Arteriogram. If contraindicated, do not place the order.

**Task 12:** Because the MRA was contraindicated, you want to consider a different diagnostic procedure. Find more info about alternative diagnostics for a Renal Arteriogram to analyze renal artery stenosis.

**Task 13:** Based on the information you found, you decide to order a Pelvic Ultrasound. Place this order. If the order is contraindicated, do not place the order.

**Task 14:** Harriet has been complaining of minor headaches. Prescribe prescription-strength ibuprofen. If contraindicated, do not place the order.

**Task 15:** Submit your orders for Harriet.

**Task 16:** Update Harriet's problem list based on your observations from this visit. Update her diabetes diagnosis to uncontrolled.

**Task 17:** Add hypertension as problem.

### ***Scenario Three***

Your next patient is 26-year-old Amanda Sullivan. Amanda has a urinary tract infection for which she has received antibiotics, but she's still symptomatic. In addition, she's been having side effects from her antibiotic.

**Task 18:** Amanda has taken nitrofurantoin for her urinary tract infection, but has had dyspnea, cough, and chest and back pain since starting the medication. Discontinue this medication.

**Task 19:** You decide to order a urinalysis to determine if Amanda's UTI has been resolved. Order this test for today.

**Task 20:** Based on results from Amanda's urinalysis, you want to prescribe another antibiotic. Order trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. If contraindicated, do not place the order.

**Task 21:** Because Amanda is allergic to TMP/SMX, order fosfomycin. If contraindicated, do not place the order.

**Task 22:** Submit your orders for Amanda

**Task 23:** Update Amanda's allergies based on today's visit. Add nitrofurantoin to her allergies.

**Task 24:** Update the severity on her TMP/SMX allergy to severe.

**Task 25:** Amanda has asthma and has a refill ordered for her inhaler. She's curious if the pharmacy has filled her prescription. Check the fill status on the prescription.

**Task 26:** While admitted Amanda would also like to have her Stradis IUD removed. Record the Explant of the the Stradis IUD from her implantable device list.

### ***Scenario Four***

Adjustment of Severity Level for Drug-drug Interaction Check

A provider at your clinic, Dr. Mark Jones, would no longer like to see overdue health maintenance items when opening Ambulatory Order Management and is frustrated with receiving mild drug-drug interaction warnings.

**Task 27:** Turn off Dr. Mark Jones' setting that shows overdue health maintenance items when opening Ambulatory Order Management via the RXM Access Dictionary.

**Task 28:** Edit the necessary settings for the MARKJONES entry in the MIS Interaction/Conflict Groups Dictionary to remove mild drug-drug interaction warnings.

### ***Scenario Five***

Enable Clinical Decision Support Interventions

Your organization has noted that pregnant women are not getting the recommended TDap immunization in the third trimester of pregnancy. In the interest of increase compliance with this recommendation, your organization plans to edit an existing pregnancy order set.

**Task 29:** Modify the 28 Week Pregnancy visit order set in the AOM Order Set Dictionary to include a TDaP immunization procedure. Set the item to be checked as a default for the set.

### ***Scenario Six***

Record Demographics

You have received a phone call from Ademaro Reynoso. He plans to come into your clinic for his first appointment next week.

**Task 30:** Create a new patient entry for Ademaro Reynoso for Practice PHYS and book a NEW PT VIS appointment for him.

He gives his address as 574 3rd Ave for Saint Paul, MN, 55103.

His birth date is 08/09/1982, he is male, he chooses not to give his Social Security, he is married, he says his preferred language is Spanish and that he is Hispanic or Latino for race.

His home phone is 651-555-7844.

The visit reason will be New Pt and book the appointment 7 days from now for 10:00am.

### ***Scenario Seven***

Record Demographics

You have received a phone call from Helen Potter. She had decided to disclose some additional demographics to you.

**Task 31:** Access Helen Potter's account and update her demographics with the following:

Her preferred language is English.

Her race is White.

## APPENDIX B: CLINICAL PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

### Gender

Female	14	93%
Male	1	7%

### Age

Choose not to disclose	0	0%
Under 20	0	0%
20-29	1	7%
30-39	4	26%
40-49	3	20%
50-59	5	33%
60-64	2	14%
Over 65	0	0%

### What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Choose not to disclose	0	0%
No schooling	0	0%
8th grade or under	0	0%
High school graduate, or equivalent	0	0%
Trade/technical/vocational training	2	13%
Associate degree	3	20%
Bachelor's degree	6	40%
Master's degree	0	0%

Professional degree	0	0%
Doctorate degree	4	27%

**What is your occupation/role? (Select all that apply)**

Registered Nurse	9
LPN	0
Nurse Practitioner	0
Physician	1
Resident	0
Administrative	2
IT Staff	4
Other	1

**How many years have you been working in your current profession?**

Less than 1 year	1	5%
1-3 years	2	10%
4-6 years	1	10%
7-9 years	3	29%
Over 10 years	7	48%

**How many hours per week do you spend on a computer?**

0-10	1	5%
11-25	0	0%
26+	14	95%

**How many years experience do you have with any EHR?**

Less than 1 year	0	0%
1-2 years	0	0%

3-5 years	1	7%
Over 5 years	14	93%